IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

ROBYN RENEE ESSEX,)
et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
) CIVIL ACTION
v.)
) Case No. 12-CV-4046-KHV-JWL
KRIS W. KOBACH,))
Kansas Secretary of State,)
Defendant,)
and))
THE STATE OF KANSAS ex rel.))
DEREK SCHMIDT, Attorney	,)
General of Kansas,	
Intervenor / Defendant.	<i>)</i>)
)
)
	_)

ANSWER OF INTERVENOR / DEFENDANT STATE OF KANSAS, ex rel. DEREK SCHMIDT ATTORNEY GENERAL TO COMPLAINT OF INTERVENOR / PLAINTIFF JOHN BRADFORD

COMES NOW Intervenor / Defendant State of Kansas, on relation of Kansas Attorney General Derek Schmidt [State], by and through the undersigned counsel of record, and Answers the Complaint of Intervenor / Plaintiff John Bradford.

1. Complaint paragraphs 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 25 and 26 are admitted.

- 2. Complaint paragraphs 2, 4, 18, 21 and 27 allege facts for which this answering Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to form a belief as to their truth or falsity. Therefore, they are denied.
- 3. Complaint paragraphs 3, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 28 are denied. A justiciable controversy exists that can be addressed by this federal panel or by the Kansas Supreme Court. No valid 2012 elections may be conducted based on the current districts. No unconstitutional election has occurred, and no Kansas voter's rights have been violated. This three-judge panel and the Kansas Supreme Court have concurrent jurisdiction to order constitutional maps in the absence of the enactment of redistricting legislation during the regular 2012 session of the Kansas legislature using 2010 Census data as adjusted by the Kansas Secretary of State. A claim for a constitutional injury by any Kansas voter is merely prospective and not ripe at this time.
 - 4. Complaint paragraph 24 requires no response.
 - 5. Any allegation not expressly addressed is hereby denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Intervenor the State of Kansas, on the relation of Attorney General Derek Schmidt, prays the Court to order the following relief:

- 1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284(a), convene a three-judge panel to adjudicate this matter;
- 2. Issue a permanent injunction and judgment decreeing that the plan of legislative apportionment established in 2002 by the Kansas Legislature in Chapter 4 of the Kansas Statutes may not hereafter be used as a valid plan of legislative apportionment, congressional apportionment or state board of education apportionment;

3. Issue an order including a valid plan of legislative reapportionment, congressional reapportionment and state board of education reapportionment with a level of deviation that is clearly within the parameters of the United States Constitution and United States Supreme Court case law;

4. Hold in abeyance consideration of any award of attorneys fees or costs pending further proceedings on this issue; and

5. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL DEREK SCHMIDT

s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay

Derek Schmidt, KS Sup. Ct. No. 17781 Attorney General of Kansas Jeffrey A. Chanay, KS Sup. Ct. No. 12056 Deputy Attorney General Marty M. Snyder, KS Sup. Ct. No. 11317 Assistant Attorney General M. J. Willoughby, KS Sup. Ct. No. 14059 Assistant Attorney General

Memorial Bldg., 2nd Floor 120 SW 10th Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612-1597

Tel: (785) 296-2215 Fax: (785) 291-3767

Email: jeff.chanay@ksag.org

marty.snyder@ksag.org mj.willoughby@ksag.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 24, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing Answer with the clerk of the court by using CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record.

s/ Jeffrey A. ChanayJeffrey A. ChanayDeputy Attorney General, Civil Litigation Division